

164 Reasons to Marry

Pat Fagan, Anne Dougherty, and Miriam McElvain

January 2, 2014

Introduction

Marriage is the foundational relationship for all of society. All other relationships in society stem from the father-mother relationship, and these other relationships thrive most if that father-mother relationship is simultaneously a close and a closed husband-wife relationship. Good marriages are the bedrock of strong societies, for they are the foundations of strong families. One can see this strength manifested at the national and state level, as indicated in other works of the authors, such as the Index of Family Belonging and Rejection and its relationship to various outcomes.¹

The future of the human race and all its component societies is embodied in each newborn. Whether that newborn grows to be a strong, capable adult depends much on the marriage of his parents. Whether he is physically strong; whether she is intelligent; whether he is hardworking or a dropout; whether she will be mentally healthy and happy; whether he will be more educated; whether she will marry in her own turn; whether he will be a taxpayer or a drain on the commons; whether she enjoys her own sexuality to the full; whether he worships and prays; whether she has children and how many; whether he finishes high school and goes to college or learns a trade; whether she is law-abiding; whether he grows old with a family surrounding him—all these most desirable outcomes (common goods) are strongly connected to the strength of the marriage of that child's parents.

The findings herein demonstrate that in marriage are contained all the five basic institutions, all the basic tasks, of society: family, church, school, marketplace and government. These fundamental tasks, well done, in unity between father and mother, make for a very good marriage. Within a family built on such a marriage, the child gradually learns to value and perform these five fundamental tasks of every competent adult and of every functional society. Gradually he is mentored in them, often unconsciously. Gradually she learns that she is expected to act similarly. Eventually, he and she become more and more expert in performing all five tasks. In other words, they gradually grow in competence and are ready to strike out into society and, eventually, to build their own family. How they do that will depend much on what they experienced in growing up in their families of origin.

With fewer than half our children now reaching the end of childhood in an intact married family,² it will be good for all adolescents to learn again and again that an intact married life is a great good to aim for. If they are clear on the goal, they may be motivated to reach it. Just as the children who grew up in the Great Depression became the wealthiest generation in history, maybe we can hope that the children who experienced so much rejection between their parents will become the greatest generation of parents who belong to each other in lifelong marriage.

The future strength of our nation depends on good marriages to yield strong revenues, good health, low crime, high education, and high human capital. As the following enumeration shows, smart parents and smart societies pay attention to the state and strength of marriage.

I. Reasons to Marry: Family

1. A larger fraction of those in always-intact marriages report that being married is very important to them, compared to those who are divorced, single, or remarried (although these may also regard marriage as important).³
2. Women raised in intact married families have the lowest average number of out-of-wedlock pregnancies and births.⁴
3. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on what fraction of an area's births take place out of wedlock.⁵
4. Those raised in married families have higher expectations of eventually marrying.⁶
5. A larger fraction of those from intact families than non-intact families are happy in their marriages.⁷
6. A lower percentage of those raised in intact families divorce.⁸
7. Daughters raised in intact families are less likely to say they do not plan to have children than daughters living with divorced or remarried mothers.⁹

Spousal Relationships

8. Cohabiting couples enjoy diminished relational happiness and fairness and increased relational disagreement and violence, relative to married couples. When duration of cohabitation was factored in, cohabitation's effect became nonsignificant, but increased duration of cohabitation worsened (relative to marriage) happiness, disagreement, and violence.¹⁰
9. Those who marry experience increased commitment and stability.¹¹
10. Men raised in married families have more open, affectionate, and cooperative relationships with the women to whom they are attracted than do those from divorced families.¹²
11. Married mothers report more love and intimacy in their romantic/spousal relationships than cohabiting or single mothers.¹³

Attachment

12. Families with both biological or adoptive parents present have the highest quality of parent-child relationships.¹⁴
13. Married people are more likely to give and receive support with their parents and are more likely to consider their parents as means for possible support in case of an emergency.¹⁵

Parenting

14. A larger fraction of married adults than remarried, divorced, separated, or single adults report valuing the importance of having their own children.¹⁶
15. Marriage enhances an adult's ability to parent.¹⁷

Sexuality

16. A lower fraction of children from intact married families than from married stepfamilies, intact cohabiting families, cohabiting stepfamilies, divorced single-parent families, and always-single parent families have intercourse before age 14.¹⁸
17. A lower fraction of girls from intact married families than from married stepfamilies, intact cohabiting families, cohabiting stepfamilies, divorced single-parent families, and always-single parent families have intercourse before age 18.¹⁹

18. Adolescent girls in intact married families have a lower average number of sexual partners than adolescent girls in any other family structure.²⁰
19. The fraction of people with only one lifetime sexual partner is lowest among those in intact, married families.²¹
20. A lower fraction of women from intact married families than from married stepfamilies, intact cohabiting families, cohabiting stepfamilies, divorced single-parent families, and always-single parent families cohabit with their eventual first husband.²²
21. Young adults raised in intact married families are more likely to enter legal marriage as their first union than are those who experienced the disruption of their parents' marriage.²³
22. Young adults raised in intact married families are less likely than those who have experienced marital disruption to cohabit before marrying.²⁴
23. A lower fraction of women from intact married families than from married stepfamilies, intact cohabiting families, cohabiting stepfamilies, divorced single-parent families, and always-single parent families have had a homosexual partner in the past year.²⁵
24. Those in intact marriages commit adultery less frequently than divorced and remarried or divorced or separated persons.²⁶
25. Current cohabiters are more likely to have been unfaithful in the past 12 months than married persons.²⁷
26. A lower fraction of intact, married than always single, divorced and remarried, or divorced or separated persons have ever paid or been paid for sex.²⁸

Sexual Satisfaction

27. Married men and women report the most sexual pleasure and fulfillment.²⁹
28. Married men and women report having more enjoyable sexual intercourse more often.³⁰
29. Married couples find their sexual relationship more satisfying than cohabiters do.³¹
30. A larger fraction of individuals in intact marriages than always-single, divorced or separated, or divorced and remarried persons report "very, extremely" enjoying intercourse with their current sexual partner.³²
31. A larger fraction of individuals in intact marriages than always-single, divorced or separated, or divorced and remarried persons report feeling satisfied,³³ loved,³⁴ "taken care of,"³⁵ and thrilled or excited³⁶ during intercourse with their current sexual partner.
32. A smaller fraction of individuals in intact marriages than always-single, divorced or separated, or divorced and remarried persons report feeling guilty,³⁷ sad,³⁸ or scared or afraid³⁹ during intercourse with their current sexual partner.

II. Reasons to Marry: Church and Religion

33. Direct marriage (rather than cohabitation prior to marriage) has a positive effect on religious participation in young adults.⁴⁰
34. Young adults raised in happily married families are more religious than young adults raised in stepfamilies.⁴¹

35. A larger fraction of adults who grew up in an intact married family than from non-intact family structures attend religious services at least monthly.⁴²
36. Those from married families are less likely to see religion decline in importance in their lives, less likely to begin attending church less frequently, and less likely to disassociate themselves from their religious affiliation.⁴³

III. Reasons to Marry: Education

37. Parents in always-intact married families are more likely to help their children do their homework than are parents in stepfamilies or single-parent families.⁴⁴
38. Fathers in always-intact married families are more involved in their children's homework than are stepfathers.⁴⁵
39. Children of married parents are more engaged in school than children from all other family structures.⁴⁶
40. Kindergarten children from married families have higher reading scores than those from cohabiting families.⁴⁷
41. Compared with children in stable married families, students experiencing parental divorce have lower academic expectations and test scores.⁴⁸

GPA

42. A greater fraction of children from intact married families earn mostly As in school.⁴⁹
43. Children in intact married families have the highest combined English and math grade point averages (GPAs.)⁵⁰

Level of Education Attained

44. Having obtained a Bachelor's degree or higher is most common among mothers in intact marriages.⁵¹
45. Children from intact families exceed their parents' educational attainment (sons by 2.8 years, daughters by 2.5 years), after controlling for mother's level of education.⁵²
46. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, positive influence on what fraction of an area's 19- and 20-year-olds have graduated from high school.⁵³
47. Children from intact married families have the highest high school graduation rate.⁵⁴
48. Those from married families are more likely to gain more education after graduating from high school than those from other family structures.⁵⁵

Behavioral Problems

49. Children from intact families have fewer behavioral problems in school.⁵⁶
50. First-grade children born to married mothers are less likely to exhibit disruptive behavior, such as disobeying a teacher or behaving aggressively towards peers, than children born to cohabiting or single mothers.⁵⁷
51. Adolescents from intact married families are less frequently suspended, expelled, or delinquent, and less frequently experience school problems than children from other family structures.⁵⁸

IV. Reasons to Marry: Marketplace (Work and Finances)

Employment

- 52. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, positive influence on what fraction of an area's 25- to 54-year-old males are working.⁵⁹
- 53. Married men are more likely to work than cohabiting men.⁶⁰
- 54. Married fathers work more hours than cohabiting fathers.⁶¹
- 55. Men's productivity increases by 26 percent as a result of marrying.⁶²

Income

- 56. Married families have larger incomes.⁶³
- 57. Intact married families have the largest annual income of all family structures with children under 18.⁶⁴
- 58. Married households have larger incomes than male and female householders.⁶⁵
- 59. Marriage increases the income of single African-American women by 81 percent and single white women by 45 percent. African-American men also see an increase in income after marriage.⁶⁶
- 60. Married households have the highest income-to-needs ratio.⁶⁷
- 61. Men enjoy a larger "wage premium" (the financial gain men enjoy when they join a female partner) when they marry rather than cohabit.⁶⁸
- 62. The marriage premium produces an *annual income increase* of approximately .9 percent for men.⁶⁹
- 63. Women in intact marriages have a higher income-to-needs ratio than divorced, separated, widowed, and never-married women. Mothers in stable marriages have higher income-to-needs ratios as well than women with a long-term history of single motherhood.⁷⁰

Financial Benefits

- 64. Married individuals often qualify for discounts or family rates on car, health and homeowners insurance.⁷¹
- 65. Married families receive various tax benefits.⁷²
- 66. Marriage can raise one's credit score.⁷³

Savings and Net Worth

- 67. Married couples save more than unmarried couples.⁷⁴
- 68. Married households have larger average net worth at retirement than other family structures.⁷⁵
- 69. Relative to being continuously married, nearly all relationship pathways (e.g., never marrying, divorcing once, divorcing twice) have a significant, negative influence on total wealth. The exceptions are twice-divorced or twice-widowed cohabiters and those who marry after being widowed once.⁷⁶
- 70. Intact married families have the highest net worth of all families with children (widowed families excepted).⁷⁷
- 71. Married households enjoyed net worth growth \$3,000-17,000 higher (over two years) than did other family structures, according to 1992-2006 data.⁷⁸
- 72. Married African-Americans and Latinos hold higher levels of home equity than their unmarried peers.⁷⁹

Poverty

73. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on what fraction of an area's overall population lives below the poverty line.⁸⁰
74. The married family is less likely to be poor than male or female householders.⁸¹
75. Marriage between the biological single parents of impoverished children would move 70 percent of them immediately above the poverty line.⁸²
76. Marriage increases one's probability of moving (if at all) from a poor neighborhood to a non-poor neighborhood. Marital breakup increases the probability of moving to a non-poor neighborhood and to a poor neighborhood, rather than staying in the non-poor neighborhood, and moving to a poor neighborhood is more likely than moving to a non-poor neighborhood.⁸³
77. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on what fraction of an area's 25- to 54-year-old females lives below the poverty line.⁸⁴
78. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on what fraction of an area's minors lives below the poverty line.⁸⁵
79. Marriage decreases a child's chances of living in a low-income condition.⁸⁶
80. Children from intact married families and married stepfamilies are less likely to live in poverty than children from other family structures.⁸⁷
81. The children of married mothers experience more upward economic mobility than children of divorced mothers.⁸⁸
82. Married couples are less likely to receive welfare.⁸⁹
83. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on an area's average TANF and state welfare transfers per 25- to 54-year-old female.⁹⁰
84. Children from single-mother families, intact cohabiting families, and (biological father or mother) cohabiting stepfamilies are significantly more likely than children from married families to receive most forms of welfare, including AFDC (Aid for Families with Dependent Children, now Temporary Assistance for Needy Families), food stamps, and Medicaid.⁹¹
85. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on what fraction of an area's households receives food stamps.⁹²
86. Intact married families are less likely to have participated in the Food Stamp Program (now SNAP, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program) than cohabiting couples.⁹³
87. Family intactness has a precisely determinable, negative influence on an area's average Social Security Disability Income transfer per 25- to 54-year-old individual.⁹⁴
88. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on an area's average Supplemental Security Income transfer per 25- to 54-year-old male or female.⁹⁵

V. Reasons to Marry: Government and Crime

89. Marriage may diminish individual propensity to commit crime.⁹⁶
90. Married men are less likely to commit crimes.⁹⁷
91. Living in a non-intact family is associated with an increased likelihood of committing violent and non-violent crime and drunk driving.⁹⁸

92. A lower fraction of adults and youths raised in intact families are picked up by police than those from non-intact families.⁹⁹
93. Adolescents living in an intact married family less frequently steal than adolescents living in any other family structure.¹⁰⁰
94. Adolescents from intact families are less delinquent and commit fewer violent acts of delinquency.¹⁰¹
95. Adolescents from intact married families engage in fewer antisocial behaviors than those from other family structures.¹⁰²
96. Adolescents from parents with two biological parents or two adoptive parents are less likely to exhibit behavioral problems.¹⁰³
97. A lower fraction of children from intact married families have ever have been in a fight than among children from other family structures.¹⁰⁴
98. Compared to teenagers from intact families, teenagers from divorced families are more verbally aggressive and violent toward their romantic partners.¹⁰⁵
99. Adolescents in grades 7 to 12 who live in an intact married family run away from home less frequently than adolescents in any other family structure.¹⁰⁶

Violence and Domestic Abuse

100. Marriage is associated with lower rates of domestic violence and abuse, compared to cohabitation.¹⁰⁷
101. Married women are murdered by their spouses at a far lower rate than cohabiting women are murdered by their partners,¹⁰⁸ and in Canada, when couples of similar age combinations are compared, murder is rarer among married than cohabiting couples.¹⁰⁹
102. Domestic violence against ever-married mothers is lower than domestic violence against always-single mothers.¹¹⁰
103. In arguments, married couples are less likely to react physically (to hit, shove, or throw items) than cohabiting couples are.¹¹¹
104. Married women are less likely to have been forced to perform a sexual act (9 percent) than unmarried women (46 percent).¹¹²
105. Pregnant non-Hispanic white and black women who are married are less likely to be physically abused than those who are divorced or separated.¹¹³
106. Married parents are less likely to neglect their children than are divorced parents.¹¹⁴
107. Children in intact married families suffer less child abuse than children from any other family structure.¹¹⁵
108. British children were found to be less likely to be injured or killed by abuse in the intact married family than in all other family structures.¹¹⁶

VI. Reasons to Marry: Health

109. Marriage is beneficial for the health of the elderly, particularly for women.¹¹⁷
110. Married women are healthier than never-married, divorced, and separated women.¹¹⁸
111. The probability of good health over time, relative to that of married persons, diminishes for widowed men and women, divorced men and women, separated men and women, and never-married women.¹¹⁹

112. Married women's likelihood of becoming seriously ill decreases the longer they are married.¹²⁰
113. Marriage is associated with better health among the poor and minorities.¹²¹
114. Married persons are less likely to become severely ill than the divorced.¹²²

Health Care

115. Married men and women are more likely to have health insurance.¹²³
116. Married individuals occupy hospitals and health institutions less often than others.¹²⁴
117. Married individuals are released from hospitals sooner, on average, than unmarried individuals,¹²⁵ and spend half as much time in hospitals as single individuals.¹²⁶
118. Married individuals are less likely to go to a nursing home from the hospital.¹²⁷
119. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, negative influence on an area's fraction of 25- to 54-year-olds and minors receiving public healthcare.¹²⁸
120. Family intactness has a very precisely determinable, positive influence on an area's fraction of 25- to 54-year-olds and minors with private healthcare coverage.¹²⁹

Lifestyle

121. A lower fraction of married than widowed, divorced or separated, never-married, or cohabiting persons have fair to poor health.¹³⁰
122. Married men whose marriages break up engage in increased alcohol consumption and cigarette use, and women who marry engage in diminished alcohol consumption.¹³¹
123. Married individuals smoke and binge drink less frequently than cohabiters.¹³²
124. Married women rate their health better than do divorced, separated, widowed, and never-married women.¹³³

Severe Illness (Cancer, Heart Disease, Etc.)

125. Married men and women have higher survival rates after being diagnosed with cancer, regardless of the stage of the cancer's progression.¹³⁴
126. Married persons' responses to cancer treatment are better and are comparable to those of people 10 years younger.¹³⁵
127. A smaller ratio of married individuals die of cirrhosis of the liver, lung cancer, tuberculosis, and diabetes than never-married, divorced, and widowed individuals, controlling for age.¹³⁶
128. After being diagnosed with prostate cancer, married men live longer.¹³⁷
129. Unmarried women with breast cancer are more likely to be diagnosed later and have higher three-year (breast cancer-specific) morbidity.¹³⁸
130. Married people are less likely to die after being hospitalized for a heart attack.¹³⁹

Longevity

131. Married people have lower mortality rates,¹⁴⁰ including lower risk of death from accidents, disease, and self-inflicted injuries and suicide.¹⁴¹
132. The longer a person's marriage, the lower is their mortality risk, relative to that of the unmarried.¹⁴²

STDs and Pregnancy

133. A smaller percentage of individuals in intact marriages than always single, divorced and remarried, or divorced and separated persons have ever had a sexually transmitted disease.¹⁴³
134. Married mothers practice better prenatal care and more consistently avoid harmful substances than unmarried mothers do.¹⁴⁴
135. Married mothers are less likely to have low birth weight children than stably cohabiting mothers or mothers involved in a romantic relationship with their baby's father.¹⁴⁵

Abortion

136. Married women have significantly fewer abortions than unmarried women.¹⁴⁶

Children's Well-Being

137. Girls raised in intact married families have a later onset of puberty and thus are less likely to experience teenaged pregnancy.¹⁴⁷

VII. Reasons to Marry: Mental Health

138. Married people are least likely to have mental disorders.¹⁴⁸
139. Marriage protects against feelings of loneliness.¹⁴⁹
140. Married persons have higher levels of emotional and psychological well-being than those who are single, divorced, or cohabiting.¹⁵⁰
141. Married mothers enjoy greater psychological well-being and greater love and intimacy than cohabiting or single mothers.¹⁵¹
142. Children and adolescents from intact married families enjoy more emotional and behavioral well-being than children in cohabiting or step families.¹⁵²

Anxiety and Stress

143. Both adults and children in married families suffer less psychological distress than their counterparts in divorced families.¹⁵³
144. Married men have lower levels of stress hormones.¹⁵⁴
145. Married women experience less psychological distress.¹⁵⁵
146. Married mothers feel less ambivalence and experience less conflict with their husbands than do cohabiting and single women with their partners, as well as more love and intimacy.¹⁵⁶

Depression

147. Those who are married report less depression¹⁵⁷ than cohabiting couples.¹⁵⁸
148. Married mothers report less depression, more support from their partners, and more stable relationships than cohabiting mothers.¹⁵⁹
149. Adolescents living with married parents are less likely to be depressed than those in stepfamilies or single-parent families (with or without other adults present).¹⁶⁰

Suicide

150. Married people are least likely to commit suicide.¹⁶¹
151. Adolescents in divorced families are more likely to commit suicide.¹⁶²

Happiness

152. A larger fraction of those raised in an intact family consider themselves “very happy” than those raised in non-intact families.¹⁶³
153. Married people are much more likely to report being happy than cohabiters.¹⁶⁴
154. Married people (those in intact marriages and those who have divorced and remarried) most frequently report being proud of their work.¹⁶⁵
155. Married mothers of infants have the most positive attitudes and report forming better home environments than single and cohabiting mothers.¹⁶⁶

Drug and Alcohol Use

156. Teenagers from intact families are less likely to begin smoking than those with never-married or divorced single parents.¹⁶⁷
157. Continuously married adults less frequently report that they sometimes drink too much.¹⁶⁸
158. Married women have fewer alcohol problems.¹⁶⁹
159. Married individuals are more likely to cease using marijuana, due in part to improvements in self-control.¹⁷⁰
160. Adolescents from intact married families are less likely to use cocaine than those from divorced families.¹⁷¹

Community

161. Older married couples enjoy more social support than older cohabiters.¹⁷²
162. Married mothers enjoy more social support than cohabiting or single mothers.¹⁷³
163. Those in intact marriages less often report believing that most people would try to take advantage of others.¹⁷⁴
164. Married parents spend more on education and less on alcohol and tobacco as compared to cohabiting parents.¹⁷⁵

Appendix Research Note

While most researchers agree on the benefits of marriage, some discrepancies exist among the way they believe the research should be interpreted.

The first is the difference between selection and protection—that is, the difference between the idea that specific kinds of people (healthier people, more affluent people, more religious people) tend to get married and the idea that marriage itself is protective and offers specific benefits (health, economic stability, greater religiosity). Some research controls for the effects of selection, but not all studies implement these controls. Although most of these benefits are actually the effect of marriage itself, some research shows the impact of self-selection.

The second pertains to marital quality. Some researchers say that a happy and healthy marriage is fundamentally different from one filled with conflict. This thinking has empirical backing, but there is also evidence to suggest that even a very difficult marriage retains some of the benefits associated with marriage for both the parents and the children.

For more information:

- Jana Staton, “Making the Connection Between Healthy Marriage and Health Outcomes: What the Research Says,” *National Healthy Marriage Resource Center Research Brief* (2009): 1-18.
- California Healthy Marriages Coalition, “Healthy Marriages, Healthy Society. Research on the Alignment of Marital Outcomes, Marriage Education and Key Social Concerns.” Available at <http://www.camarriage.com/content/resources/1f250f81-d24d-4937-9ce1-595464e2b6c8.pdf>.
- California Healthy Marriages Coalition, “Healthy Marriages, Mental Health. Research on the Alignment of Marital Outcomes and Mental Health.” Available at <http://camarriage.com/content/resources/7b8690b0-784f-46e7-af7d-438a9b064557.pdf>.
- California Healthy Marriages Coalition, “Healthy Marriages, Responsible Fatherhood. Research on the Alignment of Marital Outcomes Marriage Education and Their Impact on Father Involvement in the Lives of Their Children.” Available at <http://camarriage.com/content/resources/16aedc8f-d9a5-494a-b3d0-6672034f8b9f.pdf>.
- Mary Parke, “Are Married Parents Really Better for Children? What Research Says About the Effects of Family Structure on Child Well-Being,” *Center for Law and Social Policy* (May 2003): 1-11.

¹ See Patrick F. Fagan and Nicholas Zill, “The Second Annual Index of Family Belonging and Rejection,” (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/index-2011>.

² See Patrick F. Fagan and Nicholas Zill, “The Second Annual Index of Family Belonging and Rejection,” (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/index-2011>.

-
- ³ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'The Personal Importance of Being Married' by Marital Status." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-83-the-personal-importance-of-being-married-by-marital-status->. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ⁴ Scott Talkington, "'Ever had an Unwed Pregnancy' by Current Religious Attendance and Structure of Family of Origin." Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=MA11A01>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- Lawrence L. Wu and Brian C. Martinson, "Family Structure and the Risk of a Premarital Birth," *American Sociological Review* 58, no. 2 (1993): 210-232.
- ⁵ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 31. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁶ Wendy D. Manning, "The Changing Institution of Marriage: Adolescents' Expectation to Cohabit and to Marry," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 69, no. 3 (August 2007): 559-575. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/22/navigating-the-winding-road-how-family-and-religion-influence-teen-and-young-adult-outcomes>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁷ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Intergenerational Links to Marital Happiness: Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-32-intergenerational-links-to-marital-happiness-family-structure>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ⁸ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Divorce or Separation: Family Structure in Adolescence." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-62-divorce-or-separation-family-structure-in-adolescence>. Accessed 22 September 2011.
- Jay D. Teachman, "Childhood Living Arrangements and the Intergenerational Transmission of Divorce," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 64 (2002): 717-729. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/39/family-environment-and-childrens-prospects-for-marriage>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁹ Bonnie Barber, Jacquelynne S. Eccles, Lisa J. Colarossi, and Michael F. Schrecker, "The Impact of Family Structure on Gender-Role Attitudes of Adolescents and Their Mothers." University of Michigan Paper 1989, funded by Grant HD17296 from National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (paper presented at biennial meeting of Society for Research in Child Development, Kansas City, Missouri, April 1989): 6. Available at <http://www.rcgd.isr.umich.edu/garp/articles/eccles89.pdf>. Accessed 5 December 2011.
- ¹⁰ Susan Brown and Alan Booth, "Cohabitation versus Marriage: A Comparison of Relationship Quality," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 58, no. 3 (1996): 674.
- Larry Bumpass, James Sweet, and Andrew Cherlin, "The Role of Cohabitation in Declining Rates of Marriage," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 53, no. 4 (1991): 923.
- Scott Stanley, Sarah Whitton, Howard Markham, "Maybe I Do: Interpersonal Commitment and Premarital or Nonmarital Cohabitation," *Journal of Family Issues* 25, no. 4 (2004): 507-508.
- ¹¹ Daniel Lees, "The Psychological Benefits of Marriage," *Research Note* (April 2007): 1-4. Available at http://www.maxim.org.nz/files/pdf/psychological_benefits_of_marriage.pdf. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹² Silvio Silvestri, "Marital instability in men from intact and divorced families: Interpersonal behavior, cognitions and intimacy," *Journal of Divorce and Remarriage* 18 (1992): 79-108.
- ¹³ Stacy Rosenkrantz Aronson and Aletha C. Huston, "The mother-infant relationship in single, cohabiting, and married families: a case for marriage?" *Journal of Family Psychology* 18, no. 1 (March 2004): 5-18. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/search?q=huston%20and%20aronson&type=findings&page=1>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ¹⁴ Nicholas Zill, "Quality of Parent-Child Relationship and Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-47-quality-of-parent-child-relationship-and-family-structure>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ¹⁵ David Eggenbeen, "Cohabitation and Exchanges of Support," *Social Forces* 83, no. 3 (2005): 1105.
- ¹⁶ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "The Personal Importance of Having Children by Marital Status." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-80-the-personal-importance-of-having-children-by-marital-status>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ¹⁷ Ronald Angel and Jacqueline Angel, *Painful Inheritance: Health and the New Generation of Fatherless Families* (Madison: The University of Wisconsin Press, 1993): 139, 148. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters." Available at <http://www.ampartnership.org/resourcecenter/news/89-why-marriage-matters.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹⁸ Patrick F. Fagan and Scott Talkington, "Ever Had Intercourse at 14 Years of Age or Younger." Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=MA11B05>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ¹⁹ Patrick F. Fagan and D. Paul Sullins, "Females Who Had Sexual Intercourse before Turning 18 Are More Likely to Worship Infrequently and to Have Grown Up in Non-Intact Families." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-96-females-under-the-age-of-18-at-first-sexual-intercourse-3-charts>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ²⁰ Patrick F. Fagan, "Family Structure and Sexual Intercourse Partners--Adolescent Girls." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-5-family-structure-and-sexual-intercourse-partners-adolescent-girls>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ²¹ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Number of Sexual Partners in Lifetime." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA12H09>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ²² Patrick F. Fagan and D. Paul Sullins, "'Women Who Cohabited with Eventual First Husband' by Structure of Family of Origin and by Current Religious Attendance." Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=MA10G09>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ²³ Arland Thornton, "Influence of the Marital History of Parents on the Marital and Cohabitational Experiences of Children," *American Journal of Sociology* 986, no. 4 (Jan 1991): 883, 886.
- ²⁴ Arland Thornton, "Influence of the Marital History of Parents on the Marital and Cohabitational Experiences of Children," *American Journal of Sociology* 986, no. 4 (Jan 1991): 883, 888.

- ²⁵ Patrick F. Fagan and D. Paul Sullins, "Women (aged 14-44) Who Had a Homosexual Sexual Partner in the Past Year." Available at <http://www.frc.org/marriinteriorpages/mapping-america-93-women-who-had-a-homosexual-sexual-partner-in-the-past-year-by-current-religious-attendance-and-structure-of-family-of-origin>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ²⁶ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Adultery by Marital Status." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-74-adultery-by-marital-status>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ²⁷ Judith Treas and Deirdre Giesen, "Sexual Infidelity among Married and Cohabiting Americans," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 62, no. 1 (2000): 54.
- ²⁸ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Has Ever Paid or Been Paid for Sex' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA12H08>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ²⁹ Robert T. Michael, et al., *Sex in America: A Definitive Survey* (Boston: Little, Brown, and Company, 1994), 124-129; Edward O. Laumann, et al., *The Social Organization of Sexuality: Sexual Practices in the United States* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994), 364, table 10.5; Andrew Greeley, *Faithful Attraction: Discovering Intimacy, Love and Fidelity in American Marriage* (New York: Tom Doherty Association, 1991), see chapter 6. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters." Available at <http://www.ampartnership.org/resourcecenter/news/89-why-marriage-matters.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ³⁰ Linda J. Waite and Maggie Gallagher, "The Case for Marriage: Why Married People are Happier, Healthier, and Better off Financially." As cited by Richard Niolon. Available at http://successfulsingles.com/media_articles_files/The%20Case%20for%20Marriage:%20Why%20Married%20People%20Are%20Happier,%20Healthier,%20and%20Better%20off%20Financially.pdf. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ³¹ D.G. Blanchflower and A.J. Oswald, "Money, Sex and Happiness: An Empirical Study," *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 106, no. 3 (2006). As cited in Daniel Lees, "The Psychological Benefits of Marriage," *Research Note* (April 2007): 1-4. Available at http://www.maxim.org.nz/files/pdf/psychological_benefits_of_marriage.pdf. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ³² Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Degree to Which Respondent Enjoys Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA13D03>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ³³ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Feels Satisfied During Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA13E07>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ³⁴ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Feels Loved During Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA13E05>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ³⁵ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Feels 'Taken Care of' During Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA12H06>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ³⁶ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Feels Thrilled, Excited During Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA12H03>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ³⁷ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Feels Guilty During Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA12H04>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ³⁸ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Feels Sad During Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA13E06>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ³⁹ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Feels Scared, Afraid During Intercourse with Current Sexual Partner' by Current Religious Attendance and Marital Status." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA12H05>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ⁴⁰ Arland Thornton, William G. Axinn, and Daniel H. Hill, "Reciprocal Effects of Religiosity, Cohabitation, and Marriage," *The American Journal of Sociology* 98, no. 3 (1992): 643.
- ⁴¹ Scott M. Myers, "An interactive model of religiosity inheritance: The importance of family context," *American Sociological Review* 61 (1996): 864.
- ⁴² Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Adult Religious Attendance by Family Structure in Adolescence." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-71-adult-religious-attendance-by-family-structure-in-adolescence>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ⁴³ Jeremy E. Uecker, "Losing My Religion: The Social Sources of Religious Decline in Early Adulthood," *Social Forces* 85, no. 4 (June 2007): 1667-1692. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/22/navigating-the-winding-road-how-family-and-religion-influence-teen-and-young-adult-outcomes>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁴⁴ Frank F. Furstenberg and Christine Winquist Nord, "Parenting apart: Patterns of childrearing after marital disruption," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 47 (1985): 893-904. As cited in Sandra J. Balli, David H. Demo, John F. Wedman, "Family Involvement with Children's Homework: An Intervention in the Middle Grades," *Family Relations* 47, no. 2 (April 1998): 150.
- ⁴⁵ Alan C. Acock and David H. Demo, *Family diversity and well-being* (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 1994). As cited in Sandra J. Balli, David H. Demo, John F. Wedman, "Family Involvement with Children's Homework: An Intervention in the Middle Grades," *Family Relations* 47, no. 2 (April 1998): 150.
- ⁴⁶ Susan L. Brown, "Family Structure and Child Well-Being: The Significance of Parental Cohabitation," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 66, no. 2 (2004): 362.
- Jay D. Teachman, "The Living Arrangements of Children and their Educational Well-Being," *Journal of Family Issues* 29, no. 6 (2008): 747.
- Sarah Halpern-Meekein and Laura Tach, "Heterogeneity in Two-Parent Families and Adolescent Well-Being," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 70, no. 2 (2008): 445.
- ⁴⁷ Julie Artis, "Maternal Cohabitation and Child Well-Being Among Kindergarten Children," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 69, no. 1 (2007): 227-232.
- ⁴⁸ Youngmin Sun and Yuanzhang Li, "Children's Well-Being During Parents' Marital Disruption Process: A Pooled Time-Series Analysis," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 64 (May 2002): 472-488. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/35/family-structure-and-childrens-education>. Accessed 20 July 2011.

-
- ⁴⁹ Patrick F. Fagan and Scott Talkington, "'Likely to Receive Mostly A's' by Structure of Family of Origin and by Current Religious Attendance." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-100-students-who-receive-mostly-as>. Accessed 13 September 2011.
- ⁵⁰ Patrick F. Fagan, "Family Structure and School Performance of U.S. High School Students." Available at <http://www.frc.org/content/mapping-america-family-structure-and-school-performance>. Accessed 29 August 2011.
- ⁵¹ Patrick F. Fagan and D. Paul Sullins, "'Mothers (aged 35-44) Who Have Attained a Bachelor's Degree' by Religious Attendance and Present Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-92-women-attaining-a-bachelors-degree-by-religious-attendance-and-present-family-structure>. Accessed 22 September 2011.
- U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, "America's Children: Key Indicators of National Wellbeing," 2010. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/charts/marriage-and-family>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁵² Verna M. Keith and Barbara Finlay, "The impact of parental divorce on children's educational attainment, marital timing, and likelihood of divorce," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 50 (1988): 800.
- ⁵³ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 32. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁵⁴ Patrick F. Fagan and Scott Talkington, "'Ever Received a High School Degree' by Structure of Family of Origin and Current Religious Attendance." Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=MA10J06>. Accessed 30 August 2011.
- ⁵⁵ Jan O. Jonsson and Michael Gahler, "Family Dissolution, Family Reconstitution, and Children's Educational Careers: Recent Evidence for Sweden," *Demography* 34, no. 2 (1997): 285.
- ⁵⁶ Wendy Manning and Kathleen Lamb, "Adolescent Well-Being in Cohabiting, Married, and Single-Parent Families," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 65 (November 2003): 876-893. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/35/family-structure-and-childrens-education>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- Paul R. Amato and Alan Booth, "Consequences of parental divorce and marital unhappiness for adult well-being," *Social Forces* 69 (1991): 895-914.
- James S. Coleman, et al., *Equality of Educational Opportunity*, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, D.C., 1966.
- Ruth B. Ekstrom, et al., "Who drops out of school and why: Findings from a national study," *Teachers College Record* 87 (1986): 356-73.
- D.R. Featherstone, B.P. Cundick, and L.C. Jensen, "Differences in School Behavior and Achievement between Children from Intact, Reconstituted, and Single-Parent Families," *Adolescence* 27, no. 105 (1992): 1-12.
- Debra J. Mulholland, et al., "Academic performance in children of divorce: Psychological resilience and vulnerability," *Psychiatry* 54 (1991): 268-280.
- ⁵⁷ Shannon E. Cavanagh and Aletha C. Houston, "Family Instability and Children's Early Problem Behavior," *Social Forces* 85, no. 1 (September 2006): 551-581.
- ⁵⁸ Patrick F. Fagan, "Family Structure and Expulsion or Suspension from School." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-20-family-structure-and-expulsion-or-suspension-from-school>. Accessed 22 September 2011.
- Paul R. Amato, "The Impact of Family Formation Change on the Cognitive, Social, and Emotional Wellbeing of the Next Generation," *Future of Children*, 15 (2005): 86.
- Wendy D. Manning and Kathleen A. Lamb, "Adolescent Well-Being in Cohabiting, Married, and Single-Parent Families," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 65, no. 4 (2003): 885-893.
- A.U. Rickel and T.S. Langer, "Short-term and long-term effects of marital disruption on children," *American Journal of Community Psychology* 13 (1985): 599-661.
- ⁵⁹ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 33. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁶⁰ Wendy Manning and Daniel Lichter, "Parental Cohabitation and Children's Economic Well-Being," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 58, no. 4 (1996): 1003.
- ⁶¹ U.S. Census Bureau, "Evidence From Census 2000 About Earnings by Detailed Occupation for Men and Women," Census 2000 Special Reports, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. (2004).
- Brett V. Brown, "The single-father family: Demographic, economic, and public transfer use characteristics," *Marriage and Family Review* 29 (2000): 203-220.
- ⁶² Kate Antonovics and Robert Town, "Are All the Good Men Married? Uncovering Sources of the Marital Wage Premium," *American Economic Review* 9 (May 2004) 317-321. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, "The Family GDP: How Marriage and Fertility Drive the Economy," *The Family in America* 24, no. 2 (Spring 2010): 141.
- ⁶³ Joseph Lupton and James P. Smith, "Marriage, Assets, and Savings," *Labor and Population Program*, Working Paper Series 99-12 (November 1999): 16-17. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁶⁴ Survey of Consumer Finance, 2007. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁶⁵ Statistical Abstract of the United States 2010. U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, P60-235; (published August 2008). "Table 683. Median Income of Families by Type of Family in Current and Constant (2007) Dollars." Found at http://www.census.gov/compendia/statab/cats/income_expenditures_poverty_wealth.html. Found on July 8, 2010. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Thomas J. Tacoma, Brooke A. Tonne, and Alexander W. Matthews, "The Annual Report on Family Trends: 2011, The Behaviors of the American Family in the Five Major Institutions of Society" (February 2011): 101-102. Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11B01>. Accessed December 6, 2013.

-
- ⁶⁶ Marianne E. Page and Ann Hugg Stevens, "Understanding Racial Differences in the Economic Costs of Growing Up in a Single-Parent Family," *Demography* 42 (2005): 75-90; Andrea E. Willson and Melissa A. Hardy, "Racial Disparities in Income Security for a Cohort of Aging American Women," *Social Forces* 80 (2002): 1283-1306; Steven L. Nock, "Marriage and Fatherhood in the Lives of African American Men," in *Black Fathers in Contemporary Society*, ed. O. Clayton, R. Mincy, and D. Blankenhorn (New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 2003), 30-42. As cited in Institute for American Values, "Why Marriage Matters: 26 Conclusions from the Social Sciences," 21. Available at http://www.americanvalues.org/pdfs/why_marriage_matters2.pdf. Accessed 1 August 2011.
- ⁶⁷ Richard W. Johnson and Melissa M. Favreault, "Economic Status in Later Life among Women Who Raised Children Outside of Marriage," *Journal of Gerontology* 59B, no. 6 (2004): S319. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- Sarah Avellar and Pamela J. Smock, "The Economic Consequences of the Dissolution of Cohabiting Unions," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 67, no. 2 (May 2005): 315-327. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/31/family-structure-and-economic-well-being>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁶⁸ Phillip Cohen, "Cohabitation and the Declining Marriage Premium for Men," *Work and Occupations* 29, no. 3 (2002): 354.
- ⁶⁹ George A. Akerlof, "Men Without Children," *The Economic Journal* 108 (1998): 287-309. As cited in Pat Fagan, Henry Potrykus, and Rob Schwarzwalder, "Our Fiscal Crisis: We Cannot Tax, Spend and Borrow Enough to Substitute for Marriage," (June 2011). Available at <http://microsite.frc.org/get.cfm?i=OR12A01>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- See also Kate Antonovics and Robert Town, "Are All the Good Men Married? Uncovering the Sources of the Marital Wage Premium," *American Economic Review* 94, no. 2 (2004): 317-321; Philip N. Cohen, "Cohabitation and the Declining Marriage Premium for Men," *Work and Occupations* 29, no. 3 (2002): 356; Daniela Casale, "The Male Marital Earnings Premium in the Context of Bridewealth Payments: Evidence from South Africa," *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 58, no. 2 (2010): 219; Robert F. Schoeni, "Marital Status and Earnings in Developed Countries," *Journal of Population Economics* 8, no. 4 (November 1995): 357. All as cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- Phillip Cohen, "Cohabitation and the Declining Marriage Premium for Men," *Work and Occupations* 29, no. 3 (2002): 354.
- Sanders Korenman and David Neumark, "Does marriage really make men more productive?" *Journal of Human Resources* 26 (1990): 282-307.
- Eng Seng Loh, "Productivity Differences and the Marriage Wage Premium for White Males," *Journal of Human Resources* 31 (1996): 566-589.
- Leslie S. Stratton, "Examining the Wage Differential for Married and Cohabiting Men," *Economic Inquiry* 40 (2002): 199-212.
- ⁷⁰ Richard W. Johnson and Melissa M. Favreault, "Economic Status in Later Life among Women Who Raised Children Outside of Marriage," *Journal of Gerontology* 59B, no. 6 (2004): S321.
- See also Pamela J. Smock, Wendy D. Manning, and Sanjiv Gupta, "The Effect of Marriage and Divorce on Women's Economic Well-Being," *American Sociological Review* 64, no. 6 (December 1999): 803.
- ⁷¹ Jacob Silverman, "How Marriage Works." Available at <http://people.howstuffworks.com/marriage1.htm>. Accessed 26 July 2011.
- The State Farm website says that insurance rates drop for men under 25 who marry.
- ⁷² Jacob Silverman, "How Marriage Works." Available at <http://people.howstuffworks.com/marriage1.htm>. Accessed 26 July 2011.
- ⁷³ Linsey Knerl, "6 financial benefits of marriage," *Investopedia*. Available at <http://money.msn.com/family-money/6-financial-benefits-of-marriage-investopedia.aspx>. Accessed 26 July 2011.
- ⁷⁴ Joseph Lupton and James P. Smith, "Marriage, Assets, and Savings," in *Marriage and the Economy: Theory and Evidence From Advanced Industrial Societies*, ed. Shoshana A. Grossbard-Schechtman (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2003), 129-152. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/31/family-structure-and-economic-well-being>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- Joseph Lupton and James P. Smith, "Marriage, Assets, and Savings," *Labor and Population Program*, Working Paper Series 99-12 (November 1999): 20. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁷⁵ Joseph Lupton and James P. Smith, "Marriage, Assets, and Savings," *Labor and Population Program*, Working Paper Series 99-12 (November 1999): 33.
- ⁷⁶ Janet Wilmoth and Gregor Koso, "Does Marital History Matter? Marital Status and Wealth Outcomes among Preretirement Adults," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 64, no. 1 (February 2002): 262-263.
- ⁷⁷ Survey of Consumer Finance, Federal Reserve Board (2007). As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011): Chart 5: Median Net Worth of Households with Children by Family Structure. Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ⁷⁸ Julie Zissimopoulos, "Gain and Loss: Marriage and Wealth Changes over Time," *Michigan Retirement Research Center, Working Paper* (January 2009): 9, 22.
- ⁷⁹ Lauren J. Krivo and Robert L. Kaufman, "Housing and Wealth Inequality: Racial-Ethnic Differences in Home Equity in the United States," *Demography* 41 (2004): 585-605. As cited in Institute for American Values, "Why Marriage Matters: 26 Conclusions from the Social Sciences": 1-43. Available at http://www.americanvalues.org/pdfs/why_marriage_matters2.pdf. Accessed 1 August 2011.
- ⁸⁰ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 36. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.

-
- ⁸¹ Current Population Reports, Series P-6043, U.S. Census Bureau. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Thomas J. Tacoma, Brooke A. Tonne, and Alexander W. Matthews, "The Annual Report on Family Trends: 2011 The Behaviors of the American Family in the Five Major Institutions of Society" (February 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11B01>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- Carmen DeNavas-Walt, Bernadette D. Proctor, and Jessica C. Smith, *Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2007*, Current Population Reports, Series P60-235, "Table B-3: Poverty Status of Families by Type of Family: 1959 to 2007," Washington, D.C.: Bureau of the Census, 2008, 15. Available at <http://www.census.gov/prod/2008pubs/p60-235.pdf>. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁸² Robert E. Rector, Kirk Johnson, Patrick F. Fagan, and Lauren Noyes, "Increasing Marriage Would Dramatically Reduce Child Poverty," *Center for Data Analysis Report #03-06, The Heritage Foundation*: Washington, D.C. (20 May 2003).
- ⁸³ Scott J. South and Kyle D. Crowder, "Escaping distressed neighborhoods: Individual, community, and metropolitan influences," *American Journal of Sociology* 102 (1997): 1064, 1068.
- ⁸⁴ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 37. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁸⁵ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 38. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁸⁶ Garnett Picot, Myles Zyblock, and Wendy Piper, "Why do Children Move Into and Out of Low Income: Changing Labour Market Conditions or Marriage or Divorce?" *Statistics Canada, Analytical Studies Branch working paper* (1999): 15; Timothy J. Biblarz and Adrian E. Raftery, "The Effects of Family Disruption on Social Mobility," *American Sociological Review* 58, no. 1 (February 1993): 105. Both as cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁸⁷ Survey of Consumer Finance, Federal Reserve Board (2007). As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011): Chart 7: Percent of Children in Poverty by Family Type. Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- Robert I. Lerman, "How Do Marriage, Cohabitation, and Single Parenthood Affect the Material Hardships of Families With Children?" *The Urban Institute* (July 2002), 26. Available at http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/410539_SippPaper.pdf. Accessed on 25 January 2011.
- ⁸⁸ Thomas DeLeire and Leonard M. Lopoo, "Family Structure and the Economic Mobility of Children," *Economic Mobility Project*, 2010. Available at http://www.economicmobility.org/assets/pdfs/Family_Structure.pdf. Accessed 14 March 2011. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁸⁹ Daphne Hernandez and Kathleen M. Ziol-Guest, "Income Volatility and Family Structure Patterns: Association with Stability and Change in Food Stamp Program Participation," *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* 30, no. 4 (2009): 366. As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Andrew J. Kidd, and Henry Potrykus, "Marriage and Economic Well-Being: The Economy of the Family Rises or Falls with Marriage," (May 2011). Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11E03>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ⁹⁰ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 40. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁹¹ Peter D. Brandon and Larry Bumpass, "Children's living arrangements, coresidence of unmarried fathers, and welfare receipt," *Journal of Family Issues* 22 (2001): 19.
- ⁹² Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 39. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁹³ Daphne Hernandez and Kathleen M. Ziol-Guest, "Income Volatility and Family Structure Patterns: Association with Stability and Change in Food Stamp Program Participation," *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* 30, no. 4 (2009): 366.
- ⁹⁴ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 41. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁹⁵ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 43-44. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ⁹⁶ Arjan A.J. Blokland and Paul Nieuwebeerta, "The Effects of Life Circumstances on Longitudinal Trajectories of Offending," *Criminology* 43 (2005): 1220-1224.
- ⁹⁷ Ryan D. King, Michael Massoglia, and Ross McMillan, "The Context of Marriage and Crime: Gender, the Propensity to Marry, and Offending in Early Adulthood," *Criminology*, 445 (2007): 33-65. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/26/marriage-and-family-as-deterrents-from-delinquency-violence-and-crime>. Accessed 22 September 2011.
- Robert J. Sampson and John H. Laub, "Crime and deviance over the life course: The salience of adult social bonds," *American Sociological Review* 55 (1990): 609-627.
- ⁹⁸ Anu Sauvola, "The Association Between Single-Parent Family Background and Physical Morbidity, Mortality, and Criminal Behaviour in Adulthood," PhD dissertation, University of Oulu. Oulu, Finland: Acta Universitatis Ouluensis Medica D. 629, 47-52.
- ⁹⁹ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Intergenerational Links to Being Picked Up or Charged by Police: Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-56-intergenerational-links-to-being-picked-up-or-charged-by-police-family-structure>. Accessed 29 August 2011.
- Patrick F. Fagan and Scott Talkington, "'Ever Been Arrested' by Current Religious Attendance and Structure of Family of Origin." Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=MA11B01>. Accessed 29 August 2011.
- ¹⁰⁰ Patrick F. Fagan, "Family Structure and Theft." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-23-family-structure-and-theft>. Accessed 29 August 2011.
- ¹⁰¹ Stephen Demuth and Susan L. Brown, "Family Structure, Family Processes, and Adolescent Delinquency: The Significance of Parental Absence Versus Parental Gender," *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 41, no. 1 (February 2004): 58-81. As cited

on The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/26/marriage-and-family-as-deterrents-from-delinquency-violence-and-crime>. Accessed 20 July 2011.

¹⁰² Robert Apel and Catherine Kaukinen, "On the Relationship between Family Structure and Antisocial Behavior: Parental Cohabitation and Blended Households," *Criminology* 46, no. 1 (March 2008): 35-70. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/26/marriage-and-family-as-deterrents-from-delinquency-violence-and-crime>. Accessed 20 July 2011.

¹⁰³ Nicholas Zill, "Behavior Problems and Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-29-behavior-problems-by-family-structure>. Accessed 26 August 2011. See also Kathleen B. Rodgers and Hillary A. Rose, "Risk and Resiliency Factors Among Adolescents Who Experience Marital Transitions," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 64, no. 4 (November 2002): 1024-1037. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/24/keeping-teens-safe-how-the-intact-family-buffers-against-teen-substance-use>. Accessed 20 July 2011.

¹⁰⁴ Patrick F. Fagan, "Family Structure and Fighting." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-14-family-structure-and-fighting>. Accessed 26 August 2011.

¹⁰⁵ Robert E. Billingham and Nicole L. Notebaert, "Divorce and dating violence revisited: Multivariate analyses using Straus's Conflict Tactics Subscores," *Psychological Reports* 73 (1993): 679-684.

¹⁰⁶ Patrick F. Fagan, "Running Away and Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-26-running-away-and-family-structure>. Accessed 26 August 2011.

¹⁰⁷ Catherine T. Kenney and Sara S. McLanahan, "Why Are Cohabiting Relationships More Violent than Marriages?" *Demography* 43 (2006): 133.

Jan Stets, "Cohabiting and Marital Aggression: The Role of Social Isolation," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 53, no. 3 (1991): 674.

Galena Kline, et al., "Timing Is Everything: Pre-Engagement Cohabitation and Increased Risk for Poor Marital Outcomes," *Journal of Family Psychology* 18, no. 2 (2004): 315.

¹⁰⁸ Todd Shackelford, "Cohabitation, Marriage, and Murder: Woman-Killing by Male Romantic Partners," *Aggressive Behavior* 27 (2001): 285-286.

¹⁰⁹ Margo Wilson, Martin Daly, and Christine Wright, "Uxoricide in Canada: Demographic risk patterns," *Canadian Journal of Criminology* 35 (1993): 277.

¹¹⁰ Patrick F. Fagan and Kirk Johnson, "Marriage: The safest place for women and children," *Backgrounder* No. 1535, The Heritage Foundation, 2002.

¹¹¹ Linda J. Waite and Maggie Gallagher, *The Case For Marriage* (New York: Doubleday, 2002).

¹¹² Edward O. Laumann, et al., *The Social Organization of Sexuality: Sexual Practices in the United States* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994). Also called the "Sex in America" survey, this study used data from the National Health and Social Life Survey, a stratified clustered sample of 3,432 individuals.

¹¹³ A.B. Berenson, et al., "Drug abuse and other risk factors for physical abuse in pregnancy among white Non-Hispanic, Black, and Hispanic Women," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 164 (1991): 1491-1499.

¹¹⁴ Y. Egami, "Psychiatric profile and sociodemographic characteristics of adults who report physically abusing or neglecting children," *American Journal of Psychiatry* 153 (1996): 921-928.

¹¹⁵ A.J. Sedlak, et al., *Fourth National Incidence Study of Child Abuse and Neglect (NIS-4): Report to Congress* (2010): 5-19.

¹¹⁶ Patrick F. Fagan, "The child abuse crisis: The disintegration of marriage, family, and the American community," *Backgrounder* No. 1115, The Heritage Foundation, 1997, 11.

¹¹⁷ Lois M. Verbrugge and Donald J. Balaben, "Patterns of Change in Disability and Well-Being," *Medical Care* 27, no. 3 (1989): S142.

¹¹⁸ Ingrid Waldron, Christopher C. Weiss, and Mary Elizabeth Hughes, "Marital Status Effects on Health: Are There Differences between Never Married Women and Divorced and Separated Women?" *Social Science and Medicine* 45, no. 9 (1997): 1392.

¹¹⁹ Hui Liu and Debra J. Umberson, "The Times They Are a Changin': Marital Status and Health Differentials from 1972 to 2003," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 49, no. 3 (2008): 246, 247.

¹²⁰ Matthew E. Dupre and Sarah O. Meadows, "Disaggregating the Effects of Marital Trajectories on Health," *Journal of Family Issues* 28 (2007): 639-640.

¹²¹ Charlotte A. Schoenborn, "Marital Status and Health: United States, 1999-2002," 2004. As cited in Institute for American Values, "Why Marriage Matters: 26 Conclusions from the Social Sciences": 11. Available at http://www.americanvalues.org/pdfs/why_marriage_matters2.pdf. Accessed 1 August 2011.

¹²² Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters." Available at <http://www.ampartnership.org/resourcecenter/news/89-why-marriage-matters.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.

¹²³ R.G. Wood, B. Goessling, and S. Avellar, "The Effects of Marriage on Health: Synthesis of Current Research Evidence," Contract # 233-02-0086. Washington, D.C.: ASPE, HHS (2007). Available at <http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/marriageonhealth/index.htm>. As cited in National Healthy Marriage Resource Center Research Brief by Jana Staton, "Making the Connection Between Healthy Marriage and Health Outcomes: What the Research Says" (2009): 1-18. Available at <http://www.healthymarriageinfo.org/resource-detail/index.aspx?rid=3649>. Accessed 8 September 2011.

Lauren Duberstein Lindberg and Susheela Singh "Sexual Behavior of Single Adult American Women," *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health* 40, no. 1 (March 2008): 1.

¹²⁴ P.M. Prior and B.C. Hayes, "Marital Status and Bed Occupancy in Health and Social Care Facilities in the United Kingdom," *Public Health* 115 (2001): 402.

Lois M. Verbrugge, "Marital Status and Health," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 41, no. 2 (1979): 278.

¹²⁵ Howard S. Gordon and Gary E. Rosenthal, "Impact of Marital Status on Outcomes in Hospitalized Patients: Evidence from an Academic Medical Center," *Archives of Internal Medicine* 155 (1995): 2467.

-
- ¹²⁶ Lois Verbrugge and Donald Balaban, "Patterns of Change, Disability and Well-Being," *Medical Care* 27 (1989): S128-S147. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters." Available at <http://www.ampartnership.org/resourcecenter/news/89-why-marriage-matters.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹²⁷ Howard S. Gordon and Gary E. Rosenthal, "Impact of Marital Status on Outcomes in Hospitalized Patients: Evidence from an Academic Medical Center," *Archives of Internal Medicine* 155 (1995): 2466, 2468.
- ¹²⁸ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 45-46. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ¹²⁹ Henry Potrykus and Patrick Fagan, "U.S. Social Policy Dependence on the Family, Derived from the Index of Belonging," (Washington, D.C.: Marriage and Religion Research Institute, 2013), 47-48. Available at <http://marri.us/policy-2013>.
- ¹³⁰ Charlotte A. Schoenborn, "Marital Status and Health: United States, 1999-2002," *Advance Data and Statistics* no. 351 (2004): 9.
- ¹³¹ Debra Umberson, "Gender, Marital Status and the Social Control of Health Behavior," *Social Science and Medicine* 34, no. 8 (1992): 910.
- Lois Verbrugge and Donald Balaban, "Patterns of Change, Disability and Well-Being," *Medical Care* 27 (1989): S128-S147.
- ¹³² Theodore D. Fuller, "Relationship Status, Health, and Health Behavior: An Examination of Cohabitors and Commuters," *Sociological Perspectives* 53, no. 2 (2010): 236.
- ¹³³ Beth A. Hahn, "Marital Status and Women's Health: The Effect of Economic Marital Acquisitions," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 55 (1993): 502.
- ¹³⁴ James S. Goodwin, et al., "The Effect of Marital Status on Stage, Treatment, and Survival of Cancer Patients," *Journal of the American Medical Association* 258 (1987): 3127-3128.
- ¹³⁵ James Goodwin, et al., "The Effect of Marital Status on Stage, Treatment, and Survival of Cancer Patients," *Journal of the American Medical Association* 258 (1987): 3125-3130. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters." Available at <http://www.ampartnership.org/resourcecenter/news/89-why-marriage-matters.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹³⁶ Walter R. Gove, "Sex, Marital Status, and Mortality," *The American Journal of Sociology* 79, no. 1 (1973): 54-57.
- ¹³⁷ A. Krongrad, et al., "Marriage and Mortality in Prostate Cancer," *Journal of Urology* 156, no. 5 (November 1996): 1696-1670. As cited in Roger Dobson, "From cancer to heart disease, the amazing, life-saving benefits of marriage." Available at <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-1049134/From-cancer-heart-disease-amazing-life-saving-benefits-marriage.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹³⁸ Cynthia Osborne, et al., "The Influence of Marital Status on the Stage at Diagnosis, Treatment, and Survival of Older Women with Breast Cancer," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* 93 (2005): 43-44.
- ¹³⁹ Vijay Chandra, et al., "The Impact of Marital Status on Survival after an Acute Myocardial Infarction: A Population-based Study," *American Journal of Epidemiology* 117, no. 3 (1983): 322.
- ¹⁴⁰ Lee A. Lillard and Linda J. Waite, "'Til Death Do Us Part: Marital Disruption and Mortality," *American Journal of Sociology* 100, no. 5 (1995): 1144.
- Jonathan Gardner and Andrew Oswald, "How Is Mortality Affected by Money, Marriage, and Stress?" *Journal of Health Economics* 23 (2004): 1190-1191.
- Richard G. Rogers, "Marriage, Sex, and Mortality," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 57 (1995): 520.
- Robert M. Kaplan and Richard G. Kronick, "Marital Status and Longevity in the United States Population," *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 60, no. 9 (2006): 761-762.
- Yuanreng Hu and Noreen Goldman, "Mortality Differentials by Marital Status: An International Comparison," *Demography* 27, no. 2 (1990): 239, 246-247.
- Shah Ebrahim, et al., "Marital Status, Change in Marital Status, and Mortality in Middle-aged British Men," *American Journal of Epidemiology* 142 (1995): 836.
- Frans Van Poppel and Inez Joung, "Long-Term Trends in Marital Status: Mortality Differences in the Netherlands 1850-1970," *Journal of Biosocial Science* 33 (2001): 288-289.
- John E. Murray, "Marital Protection and Marital Selection: Evidence from a Historical-Pro prospective Sample of American Men," *Demography* 37, no. 4 (2000): 519.
- Ellen E. Kisker and Noreen Goldman, "Perils of Single Life and Benefits of Marriage," *Social Biology* 34, nos. 3-4 (1987): 137, 140.
- Pekka Martikainen, et al., "Differences in Mortality by Marital Status in Finland from 1976-2000: Analyses of Changes in Marital-Status Distributions, Socio-Demographic and Household Composition, and Cause of Death," *Population Studies* 69, no. 1 (2005): 102, 107.
- Frank Trovato and Gloria Lauris, "Marital Status and Mortality in Canada: 1951-1981," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 51 (1989): 910, 912.
- ¹⁴¹ Robert Coombs, "Marital Status and Personal Well-Being: A Literature Review," *Family Relations* 40 (1991): 97-102. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters." Available at <http://www.ampartnership.org/resourcecenter/news/89-why-marriage-matters.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹⁴² Lee A. Lillard and Linda J. Waite, "'Til Death Do Us Part: Marital Disruption and Mortality," *American Journal of Sociology* 100, no. 5 (1995): 1149.
- ¹⁴³ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Has Ever Had an STD' by Marital Status and Religious Attendance." Available at <http://marri.us/get.cfm?i=MA13E09>. Accessed 19 December 2013.
- ¹⁴⁴ Rachel T. Kimbro, "Together Forever? Romantic Relationship Characteristics and Prenatal Health Behaviors," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 70 (2008): 751-753.
- Julien O. Teitler, "Father Involvement, Child Health and Maternal Health Behavior," *Children and Youth Services Review* 23, nos. 4 and 5 (2001): 413-414.
- ¹⁴⁵ Sheryl T. Bird, et al., "Beyond Marital Status: Relationship Type and Duration and the Risk of Low Birth Weight," *Family Planning Perspectives* 32, no. 6 (2000): 285.

Julien O. Teitler, "Father Involvement, Child Health and Maternal Health Behavior," *Children and Youth Services Review* 23, nos. 4 and 5 (2001): 413.

¹⁴⁶ National Center for Health Services, *National Vital Statistics Reports* 58, no. 4 (14 October 2009), "Table 5. Pregnancy, live birth, and induced abortion rates, by marital status and race and Hispanic origin: United States, 1990-2005." As cited in Patrick F. Fagan, Thomas J. Tacoma, Brooke A. Tonne, and Alexander W. Matthews, "The Annual Report on Family Trends: 2011, The Behaviors of the American Family in the Five Major Institutions of Society" (February 2011): 101-102. Available at <http://marri.frc.org/get.cfm?i=RS11B01>. Accessed 20 July 2011.

¹⁴⁷ Bruce J. Ellis, "Timing of Pubertal Maturation in Girls: An Integrated Life History Approach," *Psychology Bulletin* 130 (2004): 920-958; Bruce J. Ellis et al., "Does Father Absence Place Daughters at Special Risk for Early Sexual Activity and Teenage Pregnancy?" *Child Development* 74 (2003): 801-821; Bruce J. Ellis, "Of Fathers and Pheromones: Implications of Cohabitation for Daughters' Pubertal Timing," in *Just Living Together: Implications of Cohabitation on Families, Children, and Social Policy*, eds. A. Booth and A. Crouter (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2002), 169. As cited in Institute for American Values, "Why Marriage Matters: 26 Conclusions from the Social Sciences": 1-43. Available at http://www.americanvalues.org/pdfs/why_marriage_matters2.pdf. Accessed 1 August 2011.

¹⁴⁸ David Williams, et al., "Marital Status and Psychiatric Disorders Among Blacks and Whites," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 33 (1992): 140-157. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters." Available at <http://www.ampartnership.org/resourcecenter/news/89-why-marriage-matters.html>. Accessed 27 July 2011.

Benjamin Malzberg, "Marital Status in Relation to the Prevalence of Mental Disease," *Psychiatric Quarterly* 10 (1936): 245-261; James Coyne, M.J. Rohrbach, Varda Shoham, John S. Sonnega, John M. Nicklas, and James A. Cranford, "Prognostic Importance of Marital Quality for Survival of Congestive Heart Failure" *American Journal of Cardiology* 88, no. 5 (2001): 526-529. As cited in California Healthy Marriages Coalition, "Healthy Marriages, Mental Health. Research on the Alignment of Marital Outcomes and Mental Health." Available at <http://camarriage.com/content/resources/7b8690b0-784f-46e7-af7d-438a9b064557.pdf>. Accessed 25 August 2011.

¹⁴⁹ Randy Page and Galen Cole, "Demographic Predictors of Self-Reported Loneliness in Adults," *Psychological Reports* 68 (1991): 939-945. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters," Available at http://www.focusonthefamily.com/marriage/gods_design_for_marriage/marriage_gods_idea/why_marriage_matters_for_adults.aspx#footnoteRef17, accessed 12 April, 2013.

¹⁵⁰ Susan L. Brown, "Relationship Quality Dynamics of Cohabiting Unions," *Journal of Family Issues* 24, no. 5 (2003): 583-601; Susan L. Brown, "The Effect of Union Type on Psychological Well-being: Depression among Cohabitators versus Marrieds," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 41, no. 3 (2000): 241-255; Beth A. Hahn, "Marital Status and Women's Health: the Effect of Economic Marital Acquisitions," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 55, no. 2 (1993): 495-504; Yuanreng Hu and Noreen Goldman, "Mortality Differentials by Marital Status: An International Comparison," *Demography* 27, no. 2 (1990): 233-250; J.K. Kiecolt-Glaser and T.L. Newton, "Marriage and Health: His and Hers," *Psychological Bulletin* 127, no. 4 (2001): 472-503; Lee A. Lillard and Constantijn W.A. Panis, "Marital Status and Mortality: The Role of Health," *Demography* 33, no. 3 (1996): 313-327; Lee A. Lillard and Linda J. Waite, "Til Death Do us Part: Marital Disruption and Mortality," *The American Journal of Sociology* 100, no. 5 (1995): 1131-1156; Kristen Marcussen, "Explaining Differences in Mental Health Between Married and Cohabiting Individuals," *Social Psychology Quarterly* 68, no. 3 (1999): 239-257; Steven Stack and J. Ross Eshleman, "Marital Status and Happiness: A 17-Nation Study," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 60 (1998): 527-536; K.A.S. Wickrama, et al., "Marital Quality and Physical Illness: A Latent Growth Curve Analysis," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 59, no. 1 (1997): 143-155. All as cited in Daniel Lees, "The Psychological Benefits of Marriage," *Research Note* (April 2007): 1-4. Available at http://www.maxim.org.nz/files/pdf/psychological_benefits_of_marriage.pdf. Accessed 27 July 2011.

¹⁵¹ Stacy Rosenkrantz Aronson and Aletha C. Huston, "The mother-infant relationship in single, cohabiting, and married families: a case for marriage?" *Journal of Family Psychology* 18, no. 1 (March 2004): 5-18. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/search?q=huston%20and%20aronson&type=findings&page=1>. Accessed 1 September 2011.

¹⁵² Susan Brown, "Family Structure and Child Well-Being: The Significance of Parental Cohabitation," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 66, no. 2 (2004): 364. See also Gregory Acs and Sandi Nelson, "The Kids Are Alright? Children Well-Being and the Rise in Cohabitation," *Assessing the New Federalism Policy Brief B-48* (Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute, 2002): 3.

¹⁵³ Paul R. Amato, "The Consequence of Divorce for Adults and Children," *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, vol. 62 (2000): 1269-1287. As cited in Nicholas Zill, "Parenting Stress and Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-35-parenting-stress-and-family-structure>. Accessed 26 August 2011.

¹⁵⁴ Dario Maestripieri, "Between- and within-sex variation in hormonal responses to psychological stress in a large sample of college students," *Stress* 13, no. 5 (2010): 413-442; Julianne Holt-Lunstad, "Is There Something Unique about Marriage? The Relative Impact of Marital Status, Relationship Quality, and Network Social Support on Ambulatory Blood Pressure and Mental Health," *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 35, no. 2 (2008): 239-244. As cited in Kathleen Blanchard, "Health & Marriage: Benefits for Men." Available at <http://www.foxnews.com/health/2010/10/13/health-marriage-benefits-men/#ixzz1TDmcdmCc>. Accessed 26 July 2011.

¹⁵⁵ Duncan Cramer, "Living Alone, Marital Status, Gender and Health," *Journal of Community and Applied Social Psychology* 3 (1993): 9.

William R. Avison, Jennifer Ali, and David Walters, "Family Structure, Stress, and Psychological Distress: A Demonstration of the Impact of Differential Exposure," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 48 (2007): 306.

¹⁵⁶ Stacy Rosenkrantz Aronson and Aletha C. Huston, "The mother-infant relationship in single, cohabiting, and married families: a case for marriage?" *Journal of Family Psychology* 18, no. 1 (March 2004): 5-18. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/search?q=huston%20and%20aronson&type=findings&page=1>. Accessed 1 September 2011.

¹⁵⁷ Susan Brown, "The Effect of Union Type on Psychological Well-Being: Depression among Cohabitators versus Marrieds," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 41, no. 3 (2000): 247-248.

Kristen Marcussen, "Explaining Differences in Mental Health Between Married and Cohabiting Individuals," *Social Psychology Quarterly* 68, no. 3 (2005): 249.

-
- Kristen Marcussen, "Explaining Differences in Mental Health between Married and Cohabiting Individuals," *Social Psychology Quarterly* 68, no. 3 (2005): 239-257; Susan L. Brown, G.R. Lee, and R.J. Bulanda, "The Significance of Nonmarital Cohabitation: Marital Status and Mental Health Benefits among Middle-Aged and Older Adults," *The Journals of Gerontology* 60, no. 1 (2005): S21-S29. Both as cited in Daniel Lees, "The Psychological Benefits of Marriage," *Research Note* (April 2007): 1-4. Available at http://www.maxim.org.nz/files/pdf/psychological_benefits_of_marriage.pdf. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹⁵⁸ Kristen Marcussen, "Explaining Differences in Mental Health between Married and Cohabiting Individuals," *Social Psychology Quarterly* 68, no. 3 (2005): 239-257; Susan L. Brown, G.R. Lee, and R.J. Bulanda, "The Significance of Nonmarital Cohabitation: Marital Status and Mental Health Benefits among Middle-Aged and Older Adults," *The Journals of Gerontology* 60, no. 1 (2005): S21-S29. Both as cited in Daniel Lees, "The Psychological Benefits of Marriage," *Research Note* (April 2007): 1-4. Available at http://www.maxim.org.nz/files/pdf/psychological_benefits_of_marriage.pdf. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹⁵⁹ Valarie King, "Stepfamily Formation: Implications for Adolescent Ties to Mothers, Nonresident Fathers, and Stepfathers," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 71, no. 4 (November 2009): 4.
- ¹⁶⁰ Anne E. Barrett and R. Jay Turner, "Family structure and mental health: The mediating effects of socioeconomic status, family process and social stress," *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* 46, no. 2 (2005): 156-169. As cited in National Healthy Marriage Resource Center Research Brief by Jana Staton, "Making the Connection Between Healthy Marriage and Health Outcomes: What the Research Says" (2009): 1-18.
- ¹⁶¹ Maria Masocco, et al., "Suicide and marital status in Italy," *Psychiatric Quarterly* 79, no. 4 (2008): 275-285. As cited in Roger Dobson, "From cancer to heart disease, the amazing, life-saving benefits of marriage," Available <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-1049134/From-cancer-heart-disease-amazing-life-saving-benefits-marriage.html>, accessed 5 April 2013.
- ¹⁶² David M. Cutler, et al., "Explaining the Rise in Youth Suicide," Working Paper 7713 (Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research): 2000. As cited in Glenn T. Stanton, "Why Marriage Matters," http://www.focusonthefamily.com/marriage/gods_design_for_marriage/marriage_gods_idea/why_marriage_matters_for_adults.aspx#footnoteRef17, accessed 12 April, 2013.
- ¹⁶³ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "Intergenerational Links to Happiness: Family Structure." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-50-intergenerational-links-to-happiness-family-structure>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ¹⁶⁴ Steven Stack and J. Ross Eshleman, "Marital Status and Happiness: A 17-Nation Study," *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 60 (1998): 527-536. As cited in Daniel Lees, "The Psychological Benefits of Marriage" *Research Note*, (April 2007): 1-4. Available at http://www.maxim.org.nz/files/pdf/psychological_benefits_of_marriage.pdf. Accessed 27 July 2011.
- ¹⁶⁵ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "I Am Proud of the Type of Work I Do." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-77-i-am-proud-of-the-type-of-work-i-do-by-marital-status>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ¹⁶⁶ Stacy Rosenkrantz Aronson and Aletha C. Huston, "The mother-infant relationship in single, cohabiting, and married families: a case for marriage?" *Journal of Family Psychology* 18, no. 1 (March 2004): 5-18. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/search?q=huston%20and%20aronson&type=findings&page=1>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ¹⁶⁷ Chery Amey and Stan Albrecht, "Race and Ethnic Differences in Adolescent Drug Use: The Impact of Family Structure and the Quantity and Quality of Parental Interaction," *Journal of Drug Issues* 28, no. 2 (Spring 1998): 283-298. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/24/keeping-teens-safe-how-the-intact-family-buffers-against-teen-substance-use>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ¹⁶⁸ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Sometimes Drinks too Much Alcohol' by Marital Status." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-86-sometimes-drinks-too-much-alcohol-by-marital-status>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ¹⁶⁹ Allan V. Horwitz, Helene Raskin White, and Sandra Howell-White, "Becoming Married and Mental Health: A Longitudinal Study of a Cohort of Young Adults," *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 58 (November 1996): 895-907.
- ¹⁷⁰ Walter Forrest and Carter Hay, "Life-course transitions, self-control and desistance from crime," *Criminology and Criminal Justice* 11, no. 5 (November 2011): 487-513. As cited in Physorg article, "The Benefits of Marriage." Available at <http://www.physorg.com/news/2011-09-benefits-marriage.html>. Accessed 2 December 2011.
- ¹⁷¹ Lisa A. Cubbins and Daniel H. Klepinger, "Childhood Family, Ethnicity, and Drug Use over the Life Course," *Journal of Marriage and Family* 69, no. 3 (August 2007): 810-830. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/briefs/24/keeping-teens-safe-how-the-intact-family-buffers-against-teen-substance-use>. Accessed 20 July 2011.
- ¹⁷² Susan L. Brown, Gary R. Lee, and Jennifer Roebuck Bulanda, "Cohabitation among Older Adults: A National Portrait," *The Journals of Gerontology* 61B, no. 2 (March 2006): S75.
- ¹⁷³ Stacy Rosenkrantz Aronson and Aletha C. Huston, "The mother-infant relationship in single, cohabiting, and married families: a case for marriage?" *Journal of Family Psychology* 18, no. 1 (March 2004): 5-18. As cited by The Heritage Foundation: Family Facts. Available at <http://www.familyfacts.org/search?q=huston%20and%20aronson&type=findings&page=1>. Accessed 1 September 2011.
- ¹⁷⁴ Patrick F. Fagan and Althea Nagai, "'Belief That People Try to Take Advantage of Others' by Marital Status." Available at <http://www.frc.org/mappingamerica/mapping-america-89-belief-that-people-try-to-take-advantage-of-others-by-marital-status>. Accessed 26 August 2011.
- ¹⁷⁵ Thomas DeLeire and Ariel Kalil, "How Do Cohabiting Couples with Children Spend Their Money?" *Journal of Marriage and Family*, no. 67 (2005): 286-295. As cited in Institute for American Values, "Why Marriage Matters: 26 Conclusions from the Social Sciences," 13. Available at http://www.americanvalues.org/pdfs/why_marriage_matters2.pdf. Accessed 2 April 2013.